



### Temporal Approach to Issue Management

Within the industry there is a common understanding that an issue is a realised risk. That is, it is an event that was previously a risk to a project, whether identified or not, that negatively impacts on the successful delivery of the project. Whilst, this is a generally accepted view, what is only now being understood is that an issue may be a realised risk whose impact is yet to be felt by the project and for which there is still time to address before the issue begins to impact the project. That is, an issue may be not only an event that **is** (current tense) impacting on a project, but may also be an event that **will** (future tense) impact on a project. Put simply, an issue is a risk with a 100% probability/likelihood of occurring.

If one accepts the above premise, one might ask whether all issues should be ranked or prioritised in the same manner. That is should use the same approach be used for issues that **are** (current tense) impacting a project as those issues that are yet to (future tense) impact the project.

Firstly, let's not forget that an issue is a realised risk. Accordingly an issue should have the same consequence (or impact) rating as the risk from which it was realised. That is, a risk that had a consequence rating of severe, once realised should become an issue with an impact rating of severe.

Secondly, we need to be mindful that when managing issues we become fixated on issues with more significant impact ratings (eg. severe) even though they will not impact the project for some time, and forget the issues with less significant impact ratings that are impacting the project right now.

This paper proposes the use of an issue matrix, not dissimilar to the traditional risk matrix, to provide an overall issue rating that takes into account the temporal nature of risks. This matrix (below), retains the consequence or impact ratings we are familiar with from our risk matrixes. However, instead of likelihood, the rows now relate to ranges of time in which the issue needs to be resolved before the impact actually negatively affects the success of the project.

	Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Severe
Immediate	Medium	Medium	High	High	Extreme
Urgent	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	Extreme
Important	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High
Timely	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High
Convenient	Low	Low	Low	Medium	High

As a default position, one could use the following definitions for the different temporal ranges

Range	Definition
Immediate	Resolution needed in next <b>5 working days</b> otherwise: - range of resolution options will be diminished - cost of resolution options will increase; and/or - impact on project success will occur
Urgent	Resolution needed in next <b>10 working days</b> otherwise: - range of resolution options will be diminished; - cost of resolution options will increase; and/or - impact on project success will occur
Important	Decision needed in the <b>next month</b> , otherwise: - range of resolution options will be diminished - cost of resolution options will increase; and/or - impact on projects success will occur
Timely	Decision needed in the <b>next month</b> , otherwise uncertainty over resolution will disrupt other actions and/or considerations
Convenient	Decision not needed for more than one month

The above matrix and definitions will ensure that minor and moderate impact issues that need immediate resolution may receive the same issue rating, and hence the same level of attention, as major or severe impact issues that do not need to be resolved for a month or more.

Furthermore, if an issue is left unresolved it would automatically advance up the rows, from convenient to immediate, as the date on which resolution is required approaches. This ensures that issues that were initially assessed as having a low ranking due to their lack of proximity to the current date are not forgotten.

In conclusion, this paper has outlined a means by which the temporal aspects of issues can be managed so that a balanced approach/prioritisation of issues can occur and that



# Management Services

Leaders in Portfolio, Program(me) and Project Management

---

resources are efficiently allocated to their resolution.